UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE                | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/549,592           | 01/12/2007                 | Thomas J. Gardella   | 00786/538001        | 2201             |
| 21559<br>CLARK & ELF | 7590 06/02/200<br>BING LLP | 9                    | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 101 FEDERAL          | STREET                     |                      | TELLER, ROY R       |                  |
| BOSTON, MA 02110     |                            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                      |                            |                      | 1654                |                  |
|                      |                            |                      |                     |                  |
|                      |                            |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                      |                            |                      | 06/02/2009          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentadministrator@clarkelbing.com

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |
|---|---|--|
|   | 10/549,592  | GARDELLA ET AL.  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |
|   | ROY TELLER  | 1654   |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply   | opears on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence address   |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE   | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status  |   |  |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under   | is action is non-final.<br>ance except for formal matters, pro  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> are subject to restriction and/or  | awn from consideration.   |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir 11).  | ccepted or b) objected to by the education of the learning of the drawing of the | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                       |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | nts have been received.<br>nts have been received in Applicati<br>ority documents have been receive<br>au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | ion No<br>ed in this National Stage  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:   | ate  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-36, drawn to a peptide.

Group II, claim(s) 37, 38, 40-46, drawn to a method of treatment.

Group III, claim(s) 39, drawn to a method of use.

Group IV, claim(s) 47-49, drawn to a method of making the peptide.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The MPEP states lack of unity of invention may be directly evident before considering the claims in relation to any prior art, or after taking the prior art into consideration, as where a document discovered during the search shows the invention claimed in a generic or linking claim lacks novelty or is clearly obvious, leaving two or more claims joined thereby without a common inventive concept. In such a case the a lack of unity can be made. See CFR 1.476.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1654

The instant claims as being anticipated by Shimizu et al. (Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2001, vol. 276, pp-49003-49012). Specifically, the reference disclose the sequence X1VX3EIQLX6HQ X11AKWLASVRR Y, where X1 and X3 is Aib, X8 is Nle, X11 is Har. Thus, the claims lack a special technical feature unifying the entire invention.

This application also contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: The various distinct peptides encompassed by the claims.

For any Group elected a single specific peptide encompassed by SEQ ID 4-5, 7-12, 15, 24-25, 27-29, 37-52, OR a specific fragment of one of the sequence from SEQ ID 4-5, 7-12, 15, 24-25, 27-29, 37-52, OR single N- or C- derivatives thereof. FURTHERMORE, for any of the peptide, if Applicants request the search for a species containing a label, then Applicant should specifically select a single disclosed label. Note that an election for the peptide itself, without a label, would result in the examination of those claims drawn to the peptide and not a labeled peptide.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-49.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each peptide is independent and distinct since each peptide is variable in structure. Thus a search would have to be conducted on each peptide based on the individual structure, making the search burdensome.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROY TELLER whose telephone number is (571)272-0971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,592 Page 6

Art Unit: 1654

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RT 1654 5/26/09 /Christopher R. Tate/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1655